A measurement of \( \Lambda \) polarization in inclusive production by \( \Sigma^- \) of 340 GeV/c in C and Cu targets
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Abstract. We have measured the polarization of \( \Lambda \) hyperons produced inclusively by a \( \Sigma^- \) beam of 340 GeV/c momentum in nuclear targets. From a sample of 9.5 millions of identified \( \Lambda \) decays, polarizations were determined in the range \( x_F > 0.1 \) and \( p_t \leq 1.6 \text{ GeV/c} \). The polarization w.r.t. the production normal is mainly positive for \( x_F > 0.3 \). At fixed values of \( x_F \), it increases with \( p_t \) to a maximum between \( p_t = 0.5 \) and \( p_t = 1 \text{ GeV/c} \), and then decreases to zero or even negative values, in sharp contrast to the plateau above \( p_t = 1 \text{ GeV/c} \) observed in inclusive \( \Lambda \) production by protons.

1 Introduction

For more than 25 years \cite{1} it has been well known that hyperons produced inclusively by unpolarized hadron beams of momenta around and beyond 100 GeV/c are polarized transverse to the production plane. In particular, the polarization of \( \Lambda \) particles produced by protons has been investigated in great detail using proton beams of momenta between 300 and 800 GeV/c at Fermilab \cite{1–5} and
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at CERN [6], and also at the ISR [7, 8]. It turned out that the \( \Lambda \) polarization is almost independent of the beam momentum and increases almost linearly with \( p_B \) up to a plateau at about 1 GeV/c. The height of this plateau increases with \( x_F \) and the polarization reaches values well above 20% at large \( x_F \) and \( p_B \). The polarization is negative, i.e. opposite to the production plane normal \( \hat{n} \propto p_{beam} \times \hat{p}_A \), where \( \hat{p} \) denotes the beam particle and \( \Lambda \) directions. \( \langle p_t \rangle \) is the \( \Lambda \) momentum component transverse to the beam particle direction and \( x_F \) is the longitudinal \( \Lambda \) momentum in the beam particle – target nucleon CMS divided by its maximum possible value, viz. \( x_F = 2p_{L}^{CMS}/\sqrt{s} \).

\( \Lambda \) polarization has also been found in production by neutrons of 40–70 GeV/c at Serpukhov [9], with values similar to those observed in the proton beams.

The \( \Lambda \) polarization has also been measured in a \( \pi^- \) beam of 230 GeV/c at CERN, where it was found to be small and of the same sign as in production by protons [10], and in a \( K^- \) beam of 176 GeV/c at Fermilab, where it was found to be much larger than the polarization in production by protons and to be of opposite sign [11].

Other hyperons produced inclusively in proton beams are polarized as well, \( \Xi^- \) and \( \Xi^0 \) with the same sign [3,12–14] and \( \Sigma^\pm \) with the opposite sign [15–18] relative to the \( \Lambda \) polarization. \( \Omega^- \), on the other hand, are produced unpolarized [19]. Of the antihyperons, \( \bar{\Xi} \) are produced unpolarized [2,4,5,20] while \( \bar{\Sigma}^- \) and \( \bar{\Xi}^- \) show polarizations similar to those of the corresponding hyperons [17,21].

This complex behaviour so far is not fully understood. The signs of the hyperon polarizations can be reproduced qualitatively through the Lund model of Andersson, Gustafson, Ingelman, and Sjostrand [22] or the recombination model of DeGrand and Miettinen [23] in which the valence quark overlap between beam projectile and produced hyperons is important. The nonzero polarizations of the antihyperons \( \Sigma^- \) and \( \Xi^+ \), however, remain unexplained. Recently, polarizing quark fragmentation functions have been derived from the \( \Lambda \) and \( \bar{\Lambda} \) polarization data in inclusive production by protons [24], but no predictions on polarizations in other hadronic production processes have been made. For reviews of the experimental and theoretical situation see for instance [25–29].

Further insights into the role of the valence quark content of the beam particle may arise from a new class of hyperon polarization experiments which use high-intensity beams of \( \Sigma^- \). We present here the results of a polarization study based on a sample of 9.5 million identified \( \Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^- \) decays produced by a \( \Sigma^- \) beam of 340 GeV/c mean momentum in copper and carbon targets. These data were recorded in 1993 and 1994 in the CERN hyperon beam experiment WA89. A preliminary study of \( \Lambda, \bar{\Lambda}, \Sigma^\pm \) and \( \Xi^- \) polarizations based on a smaller sample recorded in 1991 in the same experiment has already been published [31].

In an extension of the DeGrand and Miettinen model, Yamamoto, Kubo and Toki predict negative polarization for \( \Lambda \) produced in a \( \Sigma^- \) beam [32], as in production by protons. Liang and Boros also predict negative, but small polarization [33].

2 Hyperon beam and experimental apparatus

The hyperon beam was derived from an external proton beam of the CERN-SPS at 450 GeV/c momentum, which was deflected downward by an angle of 7 mrad before hitting the hyperon production target, which consisted of Be rods of diameter 2mm and total length 400mm. A magnetic channel selected charged secondaries from this target at production angles of less than 1 mrad. This ensured that the hyperons in the secondary beam had a negligible polarization. Three 2.4 T dipole magnets of 8.4 Tm bending power each bent the secondary beam up/up/down in steps of 7 mrad, thereby restoring the direction of an un-bent beam going through the center of the apparatus. The angular acceptance of the beam was defined by a set of brass and tungsten collimators. At the collimator exit, the mean beam angle and its full width were \((+0.5 \pm 1.0) \) mrad horizontally and \((+0.5 \pm 0.5) \) mrad vertically w.r.t. the symmetry axis of the experiment. The mean beam momentum was 345 GeV/c and 330 GeV/c in the beam periods 1993 and 1994, respectively and the momentum spread was \( \sigma(p)/p \approx 9\% \).

The secondary beam was directed onto the experiment target, which was situated 16m downstream of the hyperon production target. At the experiment target, the beam had a width of 3cm and a height of 1.7cm and consisted of \( \pi^- \), \( K^- \), \( \Sigma^- \) and \( \Xi^- \) in the ratio 2.3 : 0.025 : 1 : 0.008. A transition radiation detector (TRD) made up of 10 MWPCs interleaved with foam radiators allowed \( \pi^- \) to be suppressed at the trigger level. Typically, about 1.8 \( \times 10^5 \) \( \Sigma^- \) and 4.2 \( \times 10^5 \) \( \pi^- \) were delivered to the target during one SPS-spill, which had an effective length of about 1.5 s. A detailed description of the hyperon beam can be found in [34].

The experiment target consisted of one copper and three carbon blocks arranged in a row along the beam, with thicknesses corresponding to 0.026 and three times 0.0083 interaction lengths, respectively. The copper block was positioned upstream of the carbon blocks and the block-to-block distance was 2cm. Microstrip detectors upstream and downstream of the target allowed the tracks of the incoming beam particle and of the charged particles produced in the target blocks to be measured. The momenta of the incoming beam particles, however, could not be measured individually.

The target was positioned 14m upstream of the centre of the Omega spectrometer magnet [35] so that a field-free decay region of 10m length was provided for hyperon and \( K_S \) decays. The Omega magnet had circular boles of diameter 4.0 m, with a vertical distance of 1.8 m. It provided a field integral of 7.5 Tm. Tracks of charged particles were measured inside the magnet and in the field-free regions immediately upstream and downstream by MWPCs and drift chambers, with a total of 130 planes. The momentum resolution achieved was \( \sigma(p)/p^2 \approx 10^{-4} \) (GeV/c)^{-1}.

Downstream of the spectrometer, a ring-imaging Cherenkov detector, an electromagnetic calorimeter and a hadron calorimeter were placed. In the analysis presented here, only the lead-glass calorimeter was used to identify \( \Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma \) decays. It is described in [36].
The main trigger selected about 25% of all interactions, using multiplicities measured in microstrip counters upstream and downstream of the target, and in scintillator hodoscopes and MWPCs behind the Omega magnet. Correlations between hits in different detectors were used in the trigger to increase the fraction of events with high-momentum particles, thus reducing background from low-momentum pions in the beam. In addition, a reduced sample of beam triggers was recorded for trigger calibration purposes. The results presented in this article are based on 200 million events recorded in 1993 and 1994.

3 Selection of $\Lambda$ decays

Each accepted event had to have a single beam track reconstructed in the silicon microstrip counters upstream of the experiment target, and an interaction vertex containing at least two outgoing charged tracks reconstructed in the microstrip counters downstream of the target. To suppress interactions of neutrons and $\Lambda$ from $\Sigma^-$ and $\Xi^-$ decays upstream of the experiment target, the beam track had to have a transverse distance to the reconstructed interaction vertex of less than 500 $\mu$m. To suppress interactions of particles scattered from the collimator walls, the position/direction correlation for beam particles coming directly from the proton target had to be fulfilled. For a given beam direction in one projection, the requirement was a deviation of less than 5 mm from the mean beam impact, which corresponds to a $3\sigma$ cut.

$\Lambda$ candidates then were selected from all pairs of positive and negative tracks which formed a vertex in the decay zone between the target region and the Omega magnet. The tracks had to have elements reconstructed in the drift chambers located in the field-free region upstream of the Omega magnet. The distance between the two tracks at the decay point had to be smaller than 3 mm. Background from $e^+e^-$ pair production was suppressed by requiring that CMS momenta transverse to the $\Lambda$ direction of motion be greater than 10 MeV/c.

Figure 1 shows the mass distributions for $\Lambda$ candidates with $0.1 < x_F < 0.2$ and $0.8 < x_F < 0.9$, respectively. The r.m.s. of the $\Lambda$ mass peaks can be parametrized as $\sigma_m^2 = a^2 + (b - p_A)^2$, with $a$, the contribution from multiple scattering being $\approx 1.5$ MeV/c$^2$ and $b - p_A$, the contribution from coordinate measurement errors being $\approx 1.6$ MeV/c$^2$ at 100 GeV/c.

$\Lambda$ candidates had to be within $\pm 2\sigma_m$ of the $\Lambda$ mass. The background/signal ratio within this signal window decreases rapidly from 0.10 at $x_F < 0.2$ and $p_t < 200$ MeV/c to 0.05 with increasing $x_F$ and $p_t$, and rises to 0.07 at $x_F > 0.8$. Below $x_F = 0.1$, the signal/background ratio deteriorates rapidly and we decided to reject this kinematical region.

After subtraction of a linear background taken from "side windows" inside $\pm 24$ MeV/c$^2$, a sample of 9.5 million $\Lambda$ decays remained in the range $0.1 < x_F < 1$. It should be noted that this background subtraction also removed the contamination from misidentified $K_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$ decays.

4 Polarization analysis

The polarizations were measured w.r.t. the direction of the production normal $\hat{n} \propto \hat{p}_{\text{beam}} \times \hat{p}_A$, using the relation $f(\cos\theta^*_p) \propto 1 + d \cdot \cos\theta^*_p$, where $A = P_A \cdot \alpha_A$ is the product of the $\Lambda$ polarization and decay parameter and $\theta^*_p$ is the angle between the production normal and the direction of the decay proton in the $A$-CMS. $\alpha_A = 0.642$ is the $\Lambda$ decay parameter [37]. The beam particle direction $\hat{p}_{\text{beam}}$ was measured individually. The polarizations were determined in 8-8 bins of $x_F$ and $p_t$, as listed in Tables 1 and 2 (the two bins at the largest $x_F$ and $p_t$ values were merged to retain an acceptable sample size).

We used a coordinate system with the symmetry axis of the experiment as the $x$-direction, which practically coincided with the mean beam direction. The $y$-axis pointed horizontally to the left, looking downstream, and the $z$-axis vertically upwards (see Fig. 2). In this geometry, the production normal was confined to the $y$-$z$ plane and had an azimuth $\varphi_n = \varphi_A + 90^\circ$.

4.1 Bias cancelling

Biases resulting from unrecognized apparatus asymmetries are the main cause for worry in any polarization measurement. For instance, the Omega magnet bent positively/negatively charged particles to the left/right, thereby introducing a strong acceptance bias in favour of pion emission to the left (for proton emission, the effect is much...
smaller owing to the larger momenta and smaller decay angles of the protons). If not properly taken into account, this acceptance bias would translate into a positive polarization bias for $\Lambda$ emitted upwards and a corresponding negative polarization bias for $\Lambda$ emitted downwards.

In order to cancel apparatus biases, we adopted the following procedure: to determine the polarization in a given interval of $x_F$ and $p_t$ we subdivided that interval of $x_F$ into subsamples corresponding to 12 sectors of 30° in $\varphi_A$ as shown in Fig. 2. The polarizations determined in each sector were averaged to obtain bias cancellation. Let us suppose there is a bias in the $\Lambda$ reconstruction such that for $\pi^-$ emission to the left, i.e. $\varphi_\pi \approx 90^\circ$, the reconstruction efficiency is larger than for $\pi^-$ emission to the right, $\varphi_\pi \approx 180^\circ$. This will result in a positive polarization bias for $\Lambda$s emitted downwards ($\varphi_A \approx -90^\circ$), and these biases will cancel each other. This holds for all biases — known and unknown.

In each sector, the polarization was determined by two methods:

- calculating the asymmetry
  \[
  a(\cos \vartheta) = \frac{(n(\cos \vartheta) - n(-\cos \vartheta))}{n(\cos \vartheta) + n(-\cos \vartheta)}
  \]
  in 10 bins of $0 < \cos \vartheta < 1$ and then determining $A$ from a fit to $a(\cos \vartheta) = A \cdot \cos \vartheta$
- calculating $A$ directly from the relation $2A = (n(\cos \vartheta > 0) - n(\cos \vartheta < 0))/(n(\cos \vartheta > 0) + n(\cos \vartheta < 0))$.

To be bias-free, the first method requires only
\[
\epsilon(\cos \vartheta) = \epsilon(-\cos \vartheta),
\]
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**Fig. 2.** Definition of azimuth angles $\varphi$ and numbering of $\Lambda$ azimuth sectors.
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**Fig. 3.** Deviations of the polarizations measured in sector subsamples from the polarization averaged over all sectors. Each plot has 63 entries corresponding to the 63 $x_F/p_t$ samples.

![Graph](image2.png)

while the second method requires a constant efficiency over the full range of $\cos\theta^*$'. Both methods gave the same results within the statistical errors, with no apparent bias. We used the results from the first method.

As a check for the reliability of the bias-cancelling, we averaged the polarizations in three subsamples of four $\varphi_A$ sectors each, sample A comprising $\Lambda$ azimuths inside $\pm 30^\circ$ to the vertical (sectors 1, 6, 7, 12), sample B comprising $\Lambda$ azimuths inside $\pm 15^\circ$ to the diagonals (sectors 2, 5, 8, 11), and sample C comprising $\Lambda$ azimuths inside $\pm 30^\circ$ to the horizontal (sectors 3, 4, 9, 10). If, for instance, the large left/right acceptance bias resulting from the horizontal bending of the tracks was not fully cancelled, we would expect the polarizations measured in sample A to differ systematically from the polarizations in samples B and C. Figure 3 shows the distributions of $(\text{pol}_i - \text{pol}_{\text{all}})/\sigma_i$, where $i = A, B, C$. $\text{pol}_i$ is the polarization measured for subsample $i$ in a given $x_F/p_t$ bin, $\sigma_i$ is its statistical error and $\text{pol}_{\text{all}}$ is the polarization averaged over subsamples A, B and C. Each plot contains 63 entries for the 63 different $x_F/p_t$ bins. The observed r.m.s. values of the distributions are 0.81, 0.84 and 0.93, in agreement with what we would expect from purely statistical fluctuations, viz. $\sigma = \sqrt{2/3} = 0.82$. The mean values of the distributions are $-0.15\pm 0.10$, $-0.35\pm 0.11$ and $+0.47\pm 0.12$ for A, B and C, respectively, which may indicate incomplete bias-cancelling.

In Fig. 4 we show the difference $\text{pol}_C - \text{pol}_B$ as a function of $p_t$ for $x_F < 0.5$ (a) and $x_F > 0.5$ (b). At small $x_F$ and $p_t$, where the statistics is large, this difference has a non-zero value of about $+0.01$. At high $x_F$ or $p_t$, a shift of this magnitude is masked by the larger statistical errors. Since we have no means to decide whether incomplete bias-cancelling in sample C or rather in sample B causes this effect, we do not add a systematic shift to the polarizations, but a systematic error of 0.01 (rms).
Figure 5 shows the distribution of
\[ \chi^2_{\text{sectors}} = \sum_i (\text{pol}_i - \text{pol}_{\text{all}})^2/\sigma^2_i \]
\( \chi^2_{\text{sectors}} \) is also listed in Tables 1 and 2.

While the mean value 2.64 is not far from the value 2 expected for purely statistical fluctuations, we note a few large values up to 12. The larger values all occur in samples at low \( x_F \), but no preference for low or high \( p_t \) is observed. As a further precaution against the possibility of biases not fully cancelled, we increased the statistical errors of the polarizations by a factor \( \sqrt{\chi^2_{\text{sectors}}/2} \), for \( \chi^2_{\text{sectors}} > 6 \). (This method is used by the Particle Data Group in averaging results from different experiments [37]).

We have also split our samples into subsamples of equal size in each \( x_F/p_t \) bin, with the beam direction to the right/left or up/down respectively, and for long/short \( \Lambda \) decay lengths. Comparison of these subsamples revealed no systematic differences.

### 4.2 Beam contaminations

In the following, we investigate possible effects of beam contaminations on our results.

12\% of the beam particles accepted in the trigger are fast \( \pi^- \), which have the same momentum and angular distributions as the \( \Sigma^- \) and were not rejected by the TRDs (note without rejection by the TRDs, the \( \pi^-/\Sigma^- \) ratio in the beam is 2.3). In our experiment, we found the ratio of the cross sections for \( \Lambda \) production by \( \pi^- \) and by \( \Sigma^- \) to decrease from 0.3 at \( x_F \approx 0.15 \) to 0.1 above \( x_F \approx 0.4 \) [38]. The polarization of \( \Lambda \) produced in a \( \pi^- \) beam of 230 GeV/c was found to be between 0 and -0.1 [10]. Therefore the correction for the pion contamination is negligible.

The beam also contains an admixture of 2.2\% of \( K^- \). The cross section ratio \( \sigma_{K^- \to \Lambda}/\sigma_{\Sigma^- \to \Lambda} \) for \( \Lambda \) production by \( K^- \) and by \( \pi^- \) has been measured in a 200 GeV/c beam [39] and the ratio \( \sigma_{\Sigma^- \to \Lambda}/\sigma_{\Lambda \to \Lambda} \) has been measured in our experiment (see above). Combination of these experimental ratios yields \( \sigma_{K^- \to \Lambda}/\sigma_{\Sigma^- \to \Lambda} = 0.4 - 0.6 \) in the range of 0.2 < \( x_F \) < 0.8. Thus, about 1\% of all \( \Lambda \) in our sample were produced by \( K^- \). The polarization of \( \Lambda \) produced by \( K^- \) of 176 GeV/c momentum is large and positive, attaining values of +0.6 at a mean \( x_F \) of 0.57 and a mean \( p_t \) of 0.85 GeV/c [11]. Even this large polarization would produce a shift of only about 0.6\% in our measured polarizations, which is small compared to our experimental errors in this kinematic region.

Our sample of \( \Lambda \) decay candidates also contains \( \Lambda \) particles from \( \Xi^- \) decays. Most of these decays fall into the acceptance of the spectrometer and then are easily identified by the \( \Lambda \pi^- \) mass peak.

The beam contains a fraction of 1.2\% of \( \Xi^- \). The kinematics of \( \Xi^- \to \Lambda \pi^- \) decay confine \( \Lambda \) particles from the decays of these beam \( \Xi^- \) to the region of large \( x_F \) and small \( p_t \). In samples 49 and 57 (see Table 2) the fraction of \( \Lambda \) particles from identified \( \Xi^- \) decays is 6\% or 10\%, respectively. This is negligible, given the errors of the measured polarizations in these samples.

The fraction of \( \Lambda \) particles from the decays of \( \Xi^- \) produced in the target is lower, it decreases linearly from 5\% at low \( x_F \) to 1\% at high \( x_F \), and is almost independent of \( p_t \). From the analysis of our 1991 data [31], we know that \( \Xi^- \) produced in \( \Sigma^- \) interactions have negative polarizations, which reach about 10\% at large \( x_F \) and \( p_t \). This polarization is almost fully transmitted to the daughter \( \Lambda \) with a dilution factor \( d = (1+2\chi^2)/3 = 0.93 \). The resulting corrections, however, are negligible.

We conclude that corrections for the beam contaminations are not needed.

### 5 Results

The polarization results for all \( x_F/p_t \) bins are listed in Tables 1 and 2. The errors quoted are the statistical and systematic errors added quadratically. In Fig. 6 we show the polarizations as a function of \( x_F \) in fixed intervals of \( p_t \) with the mean value of \( p_t \) indicated in each plot. The sign of the polarization is positive except maybe for the highest values of \( p_t \). Below \( p_t \approx 0.6 \), the polarization reaches a plateau at \( x_F \approx 0.6 \), with the height of the plateau increasing with \( p_t \). From \( p_t \approx 0.6 \) to \( p_t \approx 1.2 \) GeV/c, the polarization increases up to \( x_F = 1 \), reaching 20\% at \( p_t = 0.9 \) GeV/c. Above \( p_t = 1.2 \) GeV/c, the polarization breaks down, being consistent with zero within the experimental errors.

In Fig. 7 we show the polarizations as a function of \( p_t \) in fixed intervals of \( x_F \). Above \( x_F \approx 0.4 \), we see the polarization rising with \( p_t \) to a peak at around \( p_t = 0.6 \), and then dropping back to zero or even negative values. Such a behaviour has never before been observed in inclusive hadronic production of hyperons.

We also looked for a possible dependence of the polarization on the target nuclei. In order to increase the sensitivity of the Cu/C comparison, we have reduced the number of \( x_F/p_t \) bins from 63 to 15. Figure 8 shows the polarizations separately for the Cu and C target, as a function of \( x_F \) for the \( p_t \)-ranges (from left to right) 0–0.4, 0.4–0.8, 0.8–1.2, >1.2. We do not see a systematic Cu/C difference.

### 6 Discussion

One striking feature of our results is the mostly positive sign of the polarization, which is opposite to what has been observed in \( \pi \) production by protons or neutrons, and the same as in production by \( K^- \). The sign is opposite to the predictions of refs. [32,33].

Our preliminary result from the 1991 data, reported in [31], was for an average \( x_F = 0.3 \). The measured polarizations were negative, but only the values at \( p_t = 1.0 \) and 1.3 differ significantly (by \( \approx 3 \) st.dev.) from zero. In the second and third plot of Fig. 7, which are for an average \( x_F = 0.25 \) and 0.35, respectively, we observe a trend to negative values at large \( p_t \), which is in agreement with our earlier result.

Another striking feature is the breakdown of the polarization above \( p_t = 1.2 \) GeV/c at all \( x_F \). This has not
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been seen in inclusive $\Lambda$ production by protons or neutrons, where the measurements extended to $p_t$ values beyond 2 GeV/c. In the exclusive production process $pp \rightarrow p_f(\Lambda K^+)$, however, there is even a complete sign reversal of the polarization at $p_t(\Lambda) \approx 0.7$ GeV/c, as observed in a proton beam of 800 GeV/c at Fermilab [40]. In inclusive $\Sigma^+$ production by protons of 800 GeV/c, a less striking decrease of the polarization from +16% at $p_t = 1$ GeV/c to +12% at 1.5 GeV/c has been found [18].

Could the breakdown effect observed in our experiment have been produced in a secondary process rather than in the primary $\Sigma^-N$ interaction? In that case, we would expect a significant difference between production in the Cu target and in the C target, regardless of whether the secondary interaction took place in the same nucleus or in another nucleus further downstream. We do, indeed, observe at lower $x_F$ a widening of the $p_t$ spectrum of the $\Lambda$ produced in the copper target w.r.t. those produced in carbon. The polarizations themselves, however, show no systematic Cu/C difference as discussed above (see Fig. 8). Therefore we believe that secondary interactions cannot be the cause of the polarization breakdown.

Our sample of $\Lambda$ particles was produced either directly (including $\Lambda$ resonances) or via $\Sigma$ parents. Using the lead-glass calorimeter to identify $\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$ decays we have found that about 20% of our $\Lambda$ sample comes from these decays. We have also identified $\Sigma^\pm(1385) \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^\pm$ decays. The fraction of $\Lambda$s from $\Sigma^-(1385)$ and $\Sigma^+(1385)$ in the total sample is about 15% and 8%, respectively. Assuming the fraction from $\Sigma^0(1385)$ decays to be the mean of these two numbers, we find that about 35% of the $\Lambda$ sample come from $\Sigma^*$ decays, and thus more than half of the $\Lambda$ sample was produced via $\Sigma$ parent states. We do not observe a drastic change of this fraction with $p_t$.

Nevertheless it is tempting to speculate whether the surprising $p_t$ dependence of the $\Lambda$ polarization comes from different $p_t$ dependences of the polarizations in inclusive $\Lambda$ and inclusive $\Sigma$ production.
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